

**RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

**OCT 16 2008**

PTO/SB/21 (09-04)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

**TRANSMITTAL  
FORM**

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Total Number of Pages In This Submission

Application Number	10/660,186
Filing Date	September 11, 2003
First Named Inventor	Beckley et al.
Art Unit	1713
Examiner Name	Michael Bernshteyn
Attorney Docket Number	A01477

**ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)**

<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form	<input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication to TC
<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached	<input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers	<input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences
<input type="checkbox"/> Amendment/Reply	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Petition	<input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)
<input type="checkbox"/> After Final	<input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application	<input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information
<input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation	<input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter
<input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request	<input type="checkbox"/> Change of Correspondence Address	<input type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):
<input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request	<input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer	
<input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement	<input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund	
<input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s) _____	
<input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts/Incomplete Application	<input type="checkbox"/> Landscape Table on CD	
<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53		
Remarks		

**SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT**

Firm Name	Rohm and Haas Company		
Signature	<i>Carl P. Hemenway</i>		
Printed name	Carl P. Hemenway		
Date	October 16, 2008	Reg. No.	51,798

**CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below:

Signature	<i>Janice Soulas</i>		
Typed or printed name	Janice Soulas	Date	10-16-08

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER  
OCT 16 2008

Docket No.: A01477

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No. : 10/660,186  
Applicant : Ronald Scott Beckley  
Filed : 9/11/2003  
Title : Michael Addiction Compositions

TC/Art Unit : 1713  
Examiner : Michael Bernshteyn

Mail Stop Petition  
Commissioner for Patents  
PO Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**PETITION UNDER 37 CFR §1.181(A)  
TO WITHDRAW HOLDING OF ABANDONMENT**

Sir:

On October 7, 2008, the United States Patent and Trademark Office (herein "USPTO") mailed a Notice of Abandonment for the above-identified US Patent Application. Applicants respectfully request that the holding of abandonment be withdrawn. Applicants' reasons for this request are as follows.

**Summary**

On March 11, 2008, the USPTO mailed a Pre-Brief Appeal Conference Decision to Applicants. That decision stated, "The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office Action will be mailed. No further action is required by applicant at this time."

The next paper received by Applicants was a Notice of Abandonment mailed on October 7, 2008, which stated that the Application was abandoned due to "Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 11 March 2008."

Applicants submit that no Rejection subsequent to the Pre-Brief Conference Decision of March 11, 2008 was received by Applicants. Additionally, Applicants submit that no Rejection subsequent to the Pre-Brief Conference Decision mailed on

Appl. No. 10/660,186

Docket No. A01477 OCT 16 2008

March 11, 2008 was placed in the Image File Wrapper of USPTO's Patent Application Information Retrieval system (herein "PAIR"). Consequently, because no Rejection was either mailed or made available on PAIR, Applicants submit that there was no rejection on record to which Applicants could reply. Therefore, Applicants respectfully request that the holding of abandonment be withdrawn.

Evidence relevant to these arguments is presented herein below.

#### USPTO's PAIR

Appendix 1 (attached hereto) is a printout of the Image File Wrapper of PAIR for the present application. This printout shows that a Pre-Brief Conference Decision was mailed on 03-11-2008 and that the next document mailed was the Abandonment mailed on 10-07-2008. Applicants point out that no Rejection is recorded in the Image File Wrapper subsequent to the Pre-Brief Conference Decision of 03-11-2008.

Appendix 2 is included here to verify that the document stored in the Image File Wrapper of PAIR is indeed the decision of the Pre-Appeal Brief panel. When the link in the Image File Wrapper of PAIR labeled "Pre-Brief Appeal Conference decision" is followed, the document that appears is reproduced in Appendix 2. This document is indeed the "Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review."

Appendix 3 is included here for completeness. Appendix 3 is a printout of the "Transaction History" of PAIR. The Transaction History shows that a document was prepared on 03-10-2008 and then mailed on 03-11-2008. The Transaction History characterizes that document as "Non-Final Rejection."

#### Applicants' Records

Correspondence from USPTO in the present application is mailed to the Patent Department of Rohm and Haas Company at 100 Independence Mall West, Philadelphia PA 19106-2399. A paper copy of each received item is stored. Additionally, each mail item that is received is scanned and stored electronically in Rohm and Haas's "Electronic File Wrapper" (herein "EFW"). Thus the list of items available for viewing in the EFW is a reliable list of the items that have been received from the USPTO.

Attached hereto (Appendix 4) is a list, generated by the software that is used for maintaining the EFW, of the items that are available for viewing in the EFW for the present Application, which has Applicants' Attorney Docket Number A01477. Papers for the present application in the United States are shown under the subheading "US." For the time period from March 11, 2008 to the present, this list shows the same items as the USPTO's Image File Wrapper in PAIR. That is, the EFW list shows that Applicants received the Pre-Brief Appeal Conference decision that was mailed on 03-11-2008 and the Notice of Abandonment mailed on 10-07-2008. As the EFW list verifies, Applicants did not receive any Rejection subsequent to the Pre-Brief Appeal Conference Decision mailed on 03-11-2008.

The document listed in the EFW for 03/11/2008 is the Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review mailed on 03/11/2008, identical to the document displayed herein below in Appendix 2.

The undersigned Agent has reviewed the file of paper copies of documents received from the USPTO in this application. That review verified that the record as shown in the EFW agrees with the record as shown by the file of paper copies of the documents.

Also attached hereto are two "Docket Reports." Each Docket report is a "to do" list for the undersigned Agent. When a document is received by Rohm and Haas by mail from the USPTO, an administrative assistant makes a record of that document in computer software called Computer Packages Inc., which generates the Docket Report showing the appropriate due dates. For example, when a Non-Final Rejection or a Final Rejection is received, the mailing date is noted, and a "response due" is generated with a due date that is three months from the mailing date of the rejection.

Attached hereto (Appendix 5) is one page of a Docket Report printed on 03-Jun-2008 (as shown in the lower left-hand corner). This Docket Report shows that there is no item with a due date of 11-Jun-2008. If a Rejection in the present case had arrived containing a rejection (either final or non-final) and having a mailing date of March 11, 2008, the Docket Report would have shown a response due date for docket number A01477 of 11-Jun-2008. Therefore, the Docket Report shown in Appendix 5 supports

Applicants' assertion that no Rejection containing a rejection and having a mailing date of March 11, 2008 was received.

Also attached hereto (Appendix 6) is a Docket Report printed on 14-Oct-2008. This report displays an item (described as "Confirm Abandonment?") due on 21-Oct-2008 for Docket Number A01477. This item is attached to the present paper to verify that the system in place at Rohm and Haas is reliable. That is, when the Notice of Abandonment arrived, the due date for the standard response action to such documents was properly generated, and the due date properly appeared in the proper Docket Report. Therefore, the image in Appendix 6 supports the assertion made herein above that, because the Docket Report system is reliable, Applicants are confident that if a Rejection had been received that was mailed by USPTO on March 11, 2008, then a due date of 11-Jun-2008 would have appeared on the Docket Report of Appendix 5.

#### Conclusion

Between March 11, 2008 and the present, no Rejection subsequent to the Pre-Brief Appeal Conference Decision that was mailed on 03-11-2008 was received by Applicants. Additionally, no such Rejection was placed into the Image File Wrapper of PAIR. Applicants' records and the Image File Wrapper of PAIR agree on these points. Consequently, because no there is no Rejection on record subsequent to the Pre-Brief Appeal Conference Decision mailed on 03-11-2008, Applicants respectfully submit that the holding of abandonment is improper, and Applicants respectfully request that the holding of abandonment be withdrawn.

Applicants submit that the above arguments are sufficient to demonstrate that the holding of abandonment should be withdrawn. In addition to the above arguments, Applicants wish to comment on the Transaction History of PAIR. The Transaction History shows that exactly one document was prepared on 03-10-2008 and then mailed on 03-11-2008. The only means that Applicants have to learn the contents of that document is the Image File Wrapper of PAIR. The Image File Wrapper shows exactly one document mailed on 03-11-2008, which is the Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review. Consequently, Applicants concluded that the one document mailed on 03-11-2008 was the Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review,

and Applicants submit that this conclusion is the reasonable conclusion based on the information available on PAIR. That is, Applicants submit that the reasonable conclusion based on the information in PAIR is that a Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review was mailed on 03-11-2008 and that a Rejection was not mailed on 03-11-2008.

In sum, Applicants submit that Applicants did not receive a Rejection subsequent to the Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review mailed on 03-11-2008 and that no such Rejection existed on PAIR. Consequently, Applicants submit that the present Application should not be held abandoned, and Applicants respectfully request that the holding of abandonment be withdrawn.

No fees are believed to be due in connection with the submission of this Petition; however, if any such fees, including petition or extension fees, are due, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge them, as well as to credit any overpayments, to Deposit Account No. 18-1850.

Respectfully Submitted,



Carl P. Hemenway  
Agent for Applicants  
Registration No. 51,798  
Tel: 215-619-5242  
Fax: 215-619-1612

Rohm and Haas Company  
Independence Mall West  
Philadelphia, PA 19106-2399

Date: October 16, 2008

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

OCT 16 2008

## Appendix 1:

Image File Wrapper from USPTO's PAIR (one page showing the most recent entries)

[https://sportal.uspto.gov/secure/PA\\_1\\_0\\_1BP/PAIRPrintServlet](https://sportal.uspto.gov/secure/PA_1_0_1BP/PAIRPrintServlet)

10/660,186	Michael addition compositions	10-15-2008:11:01:15
------------	-------------------------------	---------------------

**This application is officially maintained in electronic form. To View: Click the desired Document Description. To Download and Print: Check the desired document(s) and click PDF.**

**Bibliographic Data**

Mail Room Date	Document Code	Document Description	Document Category	Page Count
10-07-2008	ABN	Abandonment	PROSECUTION	2
03-11-2008	AP.PRE.DEC	Pre-Brief Appeal Conference decision	PROSECUTION	2
07-30-2007	AP.PRE.REQ	Pre-Brief Conference request	PROSECUTION	5
07-30-2007	LET.	Miscellaneous Incoming Letter	PROSECUTION	1
07-30-2007	N/AP	Notice of Appeal Filed	PROSECUTION	1
07-16-2007	CTAV	Advisory Action (PTO-303)	PROSECUTION	3
07-03-2007	A.NE	Amendment After Final	PROSECUTION	2
07-03-2007	REM	Applicant Arguments/Remarks Made In an Amendment	PROSECUTION	13
07-03-2007	WFEE	Fee Worksheet (PTO-06)	PROSECUTION	1
05-03-2007	CTFR	Final Rejection	PROSECUTION	13
05-03-2007	1449	List of References cited by applicant and considered by examiner	PRIOR ART	1
05-03-2007	892	List of references cited by examiner	PRIOR ART	1
05-03-2007	FWCLM	Index of Claims	PROSECUTION	1
02-08-2007	A...	Amendment/Req: Reconsideration- After Non-Final Reject	PROSECUTION	2
02-08-2007	CLM	Claims	PROSECUTION	5
02-08-2007	REM	Applicant Arguments/Remarks Made In an Amendment	PROSECUTION	7
02-08-2007	WFEE	Fee Worksheet (PTO-06)	PROSECUTION	1
11-27-2006	IDS	Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) Filed (SB/08)	PROSECUTION	4
11-27-2006	FOR	Foreign Reference	PRIOR ART	12
11-09-2006	CTNF	Non-Final Rejection	PROSECUTION	7
11-09-2006	FWCLM	Index of Claims	PROSECUTION	1
11-07-2006	AP.PRE.DEC	Pre-Brief Appeal Conference decision	PROSECUTION	2
10-06-2006	LET.	Miscellaneous Incoming Letter	PROSECUTION	2
09-28-2006	EXIN	Examiner Interview Summary Record (PTO- 413)	PROSECUTION	3
09-28-2006	AP.PRE.REQ	Pre-Brief Conference request	PROSECUTION	7
09-28-2006	N/AP	Notice of Appeal Filed	PROSECUTION	1
09-01-2006	CTAV	Advisory Action (PTO-303)	PROSECUTION	3
08-30-2006	WFEE	Fee Worksheet (PTO-06)	PROSECUTION	1
08-28-2006	A.NE	Amendment After Final	PROSECUTION	2
08-28-2006	CLM	Claims	PROSECUTION	5
08-28-2006	REM	Applicant Arguments/Remarks Made In an Amendment	PROSECUTION	3
08-29-2006	CTFR	Final Rejection	PROSECUTION	7
08-29-2006	892	List of references cited by examiner	PRIOR ART	1
08-29-2006	FWCLM	Index of Claims	PROSECUTION	1

10/15/2008

1

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER  
OCT 16 2008

Appendix 2: (2 pages)

Image from PAIR of Notice of Panel Decision from Pre Appeal Brief Review mailed on 03/11/2008



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Attn: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/660,186	03/11/2003	Ronald Scott Beckley	A01477	5800
21998	750	03/11/2008	EXAMINER	
ROHM AND HAAS COMPANY			BRONKSTYN, MICHAEL	
PATENT DEPARTMENT				
100 INDEPENDENCE MALL WEST				
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19106-2399				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			MAIL DATE DELIVERY MODE	
			03/11/2008 PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER  
OCT 16 2008

Application Number	Application Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination
	10660,186	BECKLEY ET AL. Art Unit 1796
RANDY GULAKOWSKI		
<b>Document Code - AP.PRE.DEC</b>		

### Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review



This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review filed 7/30/07

1.  **Improper Request** – The Request is improper and a conference will not be held for the following reason(s):

- The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request.
- The request does not include reasons why a review is appropriate.
- A proposed amendment is included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
- Other: \_\_\_\_\_

The time period for filing a response continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.



2.  **Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences** – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been held. The application remains under appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date of the notice of appeal, as applicable.

- The panel has determined the status of the claim(s) is as follows:
- Claim(s) allowed: \_\_\_\_\_
- Claim(s) objected to: \_\_\_\_\_
- Claim(s) rejected: \_\_\_\_\_
- Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_\_

3.  **Allowable application** – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by applicant at this time.

4.  **Reopen Prosecution** – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action will be mailed. No further action is required by applicant at this time.

All participants:

(1) RANDY GULAKOWSKI   
 (2) James Siedlecki   
 (3) Michael Bernstein  
 (4) \_\_\_\_\_

## Appendix 3:

Transaction History from USPTO's PAIR (one page showing the most recent entries)

[https://portal.uspto.gov/secure/PA\\_1\\_0\\_1BP/PAIRPrintServlet](https://portal.uspto.gov/secure/PA_1_0_1BP/PAIRPrintServlet)

10/660,186	Michael addition compositions	10-15- 2008::11:00:50
<b>Transaction History</b>		
Date	Transaction Description	
10-07-2008	Mail Abandonment for Failure to Respond to Office Action	
10-01-2008	Abandonment for Failure to Respond to Office Action	
03-11-2008	Mail Non-Final Rejection	
03-10-2008	Non-Final Rejection	
07-30-2007	Notice of Appeal Filed	
10-04-2007	Case Docketed to Examiner In GAU	
07-16-2007	Mail Advisory Action (PTOL - 303)	
07-12-2007	Advisory Action (PTOL-303)	
07-05-2007	Date Forwarded to Examiner	
07-03-2007	Amendment after Final Rejection	
05-03-2007	Mail Final Rejection (PTOL - 326)	
04-30-2007	Final Rejection	
12-12-2003	Information Disclosure Statement considered	
06-16-2004	Information Disclosure Statement considered	
11-27-2006	Information Disclosure Statement considered	
02-16-2007	Date Forwarded to Examiner	
02-08-2007	Response after Non-Final Action	
11-27-2006	Reference capture on IDS	
11-27-2006	Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) Filed	
11-27-2006	Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) Filed	
11-09-2006	Mail Non-Final Rejection	
11-08-2006	Non-Final Rejection	
11-06-2006	Date Forwarded to Examiner	
11-07-2006	Mail Appeals conf. Reopen Prosec.	
11-05-2006	Pre-Appeals Conference Decision - Reopen Prosecution	
09-28-2006	Notice of Appeal Filed	
10-06-2006	Miscellaneous Incoming Letter	
09-28-2006	Request for Pre-Appeal Conference Filed	
09-28-2006	Notice of Appeal Filed	
09-28-2006	Mail Supplemental Advisory Action	
09-26-2006	Supplemental Examiner Action	
09-01-2006	Mail Advisory Action (PTOL - 303)	
08-31-2006	Advisory Action (PTOL-303)	
08-30-2006	Date Forwarded to Examiner	
08-28-2006	Amendment after Final Rejection	
06-29-2005	Mail Final Rejection (PTOL - 326)	
06-26-2006	Final Rejection	
04-18-2006	Date Forwarded to Examiner	
04-13-2006	Response after Non-Final Action	
04-13-2006	Request for Extension of Time - Granted	

10/15/2008

1

## Appendix 4: Document List from Applicants' Electronic File Wrapper

- A01477	
> CN	
> TW	
12/09/2003	Other/Misc. Actions/Correspondence
04/21/2005	Published Application
04/13/2006	Response-With or Without Amendment
08/28/2006	Response-Final Rejection
09/28/2006	Response-With or Without Amendment
10/03/2006	Official Action
10/08/2006	Other/Misc. Response/Correspondence
11/09/2006	Official Action
11/27/2006	Information Disclosure Statement
05/03/2007	Official Action - Final
07/18/2007	Advisory Action
03/11/2008	Other/Misc. Actions/Correspondence
> A01480	

## Appendix 5: Docket Report printed on 03-Jun-2008 (one page)

Rohm and Haas Company

Complete Docket Report By Attorney  
up to 30-Sep-2008

JS

Attorney : Carl P. Hertkewitz

Date	Case Number	Description	Category	Sub-Category
20-Nov-2007	A01820 EP	Check EP Register - Int Ofce	Contacting Crop Plants With Compositions.	AGRO
03-Feb-2008	A01929 US	Filing Reminder	Oil Formulations	AGRO
03-Feb-2008	A01929 US	Foreign Filing Reminder	Oil Formulations	AGRO
06-May-2008	A01947 US	Filing Reminder	Method For Making Inclusion Complex	AGRO
06-May-2008	A01947 US	Foreign Filing Reminder	Method For Making Inclusion Complex	AGRO
15-May-2008	A01182 EP	Grant Notices	Triggered Release Compositions and Process	PMD
21-May-2008	A01820 EP	Request Examination	Contacting Crop Plants With Compositions.	AGRO
21-May-2008	A01918 EP	Request Examination	Treating Horticultural Crops	AGRO
28-May-2008	A01901 EP 1	Search Report to US	Cationic Polymer Latex	PMD
06-Jun-2008	A01861 EP	Search Report to US	Cyclopropane Compositions	AGRO
06-Jun-2008	A01901 US	Information Disclosure Stmt	Cationic Polymer Latex	PMD
06-Jun-2008	A01902 US 1	Information Disclosure Stmt	Method For Reading Test Stripe:	PMD
07-Jun-2008	A01953 US	Filing Reminder	Method Involving High Plant Density	AGRO
07-Jun-2008	A01955 US	Foreign Filing Reminder	Method Involving High Plant Density	AGRO
12-Jun-2008	A01717 EP	Grant Notices	Compatibilization Of Ester With Latices.	PCFC
14-Jun-2008	A01268 EP 0	Response due	A Method of Improving the Melt Processing of Thermoplastic Polymers and Compositions Produced Thereby	PBPLA
15-Jun-2008	A01620 US 1	Non-Compliant Appeal Brief	Moisture-Reactive Hot-Melt Adhesive	PBAS
15-Jun-2008	A01893 IN	Details of corres applns	Method of Making a Complex	AGRO Admin
16-Jun-2008	A01752A CN	Response	Method For Promoting Michael Addition Reactions.	PBAP
18-Jun-2008	A01778 CN	Response	Swellable Particles.	PXIEB
17-Jun-2008	A01813 US	Issue Fee Due	Polymerization Of Monomers With Differing Reactives.	PMD
18-Jun-2008	A01855 CA	Response	Compositions With Cyclopropenes And Adjuncts.	AGRO
18-Jun-2008	A01856 CA	Response	Compositions With Cyclopropenes And Metal-Composing Agents.	AGRO
20-Jun-2008	A01875 EP	Response due	Biomass Based Michael Addition Compositions.	PBAP
21-Jun-2008	A01456 EP	51(4) check file b4 approval	Method For Preparing A Bonded Composite.	PBAP
21-Jun-2008	A01792 CL	Copy of PCT or EP Search Rpt	Compositions With Cyclopropenes.	AGRO
21-Jun-2008	A01792 CR	Certified Copy of US Grant	Compositions With Cyclopropenes.	AGRO

03-Jun-2008 14:25

rptRohmHaasCompleteDocketByAttorney

Page 12 of 69

## Appendix 6: Docket Report printed on 14-Oct-2008 (one page)

Rohm and Haas Company

Complete Docket Report By Attorney  
up to 31-Jan-2009

JS

Attorney : Carl P. Hemerway

Date	Case Number	Description	Attorney	
07-Jun-2008	A01956 US	Filing Reminder	Method Involving High Plant Density	AGRO
07-Jun-2008	A01956 US	Foreign Filing Reminder	Method Involving High Plant Density	AGRO
13-Sep-2008	A01791 EP	Response due - extended	Compositions With Cyclopropenes And Non-Hydrocarbon Oils.	AGRO
13-Sep-2008	A01980 US	Filing Reminder	CYCLOPROPENE COMPLEX COMPOSITIONS	AGRO
13-Sep-2008	A01980 US	Foreign Filing Reminder	CYCLOPROPENE COMPLEX COMPOSITIONS	AGRO
03-Oct-2008	A01983 US	Filing Reminder	Method of Emulsion Polymerization	PBPLA
03-Oct-2008	A01983 US	Foreign Filing Reminder	Method of Emulsion Polymerization	PBPLA
03-Oct-2008	A01986 US	Filing Reminder	Hair Styling Composition	PX&PC
03-Oct-2008	A01986 US	Foreign Filing Reminder	Hair Styling Composition	PX&PC
12-Oct-2008	A01674 JP	Deferred Exam	Surface Promoted Michael Cure Compositions.	PBAP
18-Oct-2008	A01714 US 1	Due Date - Election	Plant Growth Regulation.	AGRO
19-Oct-2008	A01478A JP	Response re Amendment	Methods of Using Michael Addition Compositions.	PBAP
19-Oct-2008	A01645 EP	Claims and printing fees	Process For Making Urethane-Containing Structures And The Structures Made Thereby	PBAP
19-Oct-2008	A01791 CN	Response final extension	Compositions With Cyclopropenes And Non-Hydrocarbon Oils.	AGRO
21-Oct-2008	A01477 US 1	Confirm Abandonment?	Michael Addition Composition.	PBAP
21-Oct-2008	A01792 CL	Copy of PCT or EP Search Rpt	Compositions With Cyclopropenes.	AGRO
21-Oct-2008	A01792 CR	Certified Copy of US Grant	Compositions With Cyclopropenes.	AGRO
23-Oct-2008	A01658 CO	Response	Compositions With Cyclopropenes And Metal-Carboxylating Agents.	AGRO
24-Oct-2008	A01327A US	Issue Fee Due	METHOD OF APPLYING REMOVABLE COATING COMPOSITIONS	PCIPC
24-Oct-2008	A01583 JP	Response	Materials For Bonding Elastomer.	PBATR
24-Oct-2008	A01782 CO	Request Examination	Compositions With Cyclopropenes.	AGRO
24-Oct-2008	A01829 US 1	Information Disclosure Stmt	Oil Formulations	AGRO
25-Oct-2008	A01791 US 1	Appeal Brief Due	Compositions With Cyclopropenes And Non-Hydrocarbon Oils.	AGRO
26-Oct-2008	97075E US	Improper CPOA Letter	PROCESS FOR PREPARING POLYMER EMULSIONS AND POLYMERS FORMED THEREFROM	PCA Admin
29-Oct-2008	A01845 US	2 Month Due Date	Process For Making Urethane-Containing Structures And The Structures Made Thereby	PBAP

14-Oct-2008 11:25

rp|RohmHaasCompleteDocketByAttorney

Page 10 of 80